ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES VOL 4, NO 4, JULY 2025 ISSN: 2709-2429(Print), 2709-2437(Online)-Published 30th July 2025

Aviation Safety And Policy Gaps: Analyzing The Causes Of Plane Crashes In Nigeria

by
Ibrahim O. SALAWU
Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University, Malete
ibrahim.salawu@kwasu.edu.ng
+2348054148183
Osho Mahmud A.
Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Al-Hikmah University, Adewole, Ilorin, Kwara State
maosho@alhikmah.edu.ng
+2347032268454

Abstract

The aviation sector plays a critical role in national development, economic integration, and global connectivity. However, Nigeria's aviation industry has been marred by recurrent plane crashes, raising concerns about safety standards and the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks. This study investigates the underlying causes of aviation accidents in Nigeria with a particular focus on policy gaps, regulatory enforcement, and institutional weaknesses. Drawing on documented crash incidents, official investigation reports, and expert interviews, the research identifies key factors contributing to air mishaps, including poor regulatory oversight, inadequate maintenance culture, aging aircraft, insufficient safety training, and corruption within the aviation system. The paper also assesses the performance of key regulatory bodies such as the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) and the Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB), examining the extent to which existing policies align with global aviation safety standards. The findings reveal a disconnect between policy formulation and implementation, with reactive rather than preventive approaches dominating the safety landscape. The study concludes by recommending comprehensive policy reforms, stronger institutional accountability, enhanced funding for aviation safety, and adherence to international best practices to reduce the frequency of plane crashes and restore public confidence in Nigeria's aviation sector.

Keywords: *Aviation safety, Plane crashes, Nigeria, Policy gaps, Regulatory oversight*

Background to the Study

Air transportation is one of the fastest, safest, and most efficient means of movement across long distances, and it plays a vital role in facilitating economic growth, tourism, international trade, and national integration. In Nigeria, the aviation industry has witnessed significant growth over the years, with an increasing number of airlines, routes, and passenger traffic. Despite this progress, the sector continues to face serious safety challenges, most notably in the form of recurring plane crashes that have resulted in tragic loss of lives and property, public outrage, and growing mistrust in the aviation system.

Historically, Nigeria has recorded some of the most devastating air disasters in Africa, including the 2005 Sosoliso Airlines crash in Port Harcourt, the 2006 ADC Airlines crash in Abuja, and the 2012 Dana Air crash in Lagos, among others (Okonkwo, 2014; Nwankwo & Iroegbu, 2016; Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority [NCAA], 2017). Each of these incidents has raised urgent questions about the effectiveness of aviation policies, regulatory enforcement, and crisis response mechanisms in the country. While technical faults, pilot errors, and weather conditions are often cited as contributing factors, there is growing evidence to suggest that deeper systemic issues—such as policy failures, inadequate oversight, weak institutional capacity, and corruption—are central to the persistence of aviation accidents in Nigeria.

The Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA), along with other regulatory and investigative agencies such as the Federal Ministry of Aviation and the Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB), is tasked with ensuring safety, enforcing compliance, and investigating incidents. However, repeated failures in implementing safety recommendations, insufficient monitoring of airline operations, and poor maintenance culture continue to hinder the effectiveness of these institutions.

This study, therefore, seeks to examine the root causes of plane crashes in Nigeria from a policy and regulatory standpoint. It aims to identify the gaps within the current aviation safety framework and explore how these weaknesses contribute to recurrent air mishaps. The research also aims to propose policy reforms and institutional strengthening strategies that can significantly improve aviation safety and restore public trust in the system.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the causes of plane crashes in Nigeria, with a specific focus on aviation safety and policy gaps. The qualitative approach is appropriate for this research as it allows for an in-depth understanding of complex policy and institutional issues that cannot be fully captured through quantitative methods.

The primary source of data for this study is **semi-structured interviews**. A purposive sampling technique was used to select key stakeholders in the Nigerian aviation sector, including officials from the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA), Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB),

Federal Ministry of Aviation, airline safety managers, aviation experts, and retired pilots. These respondents were chosen based on their experience, expertise, and direct involvement in aviation safety regulation and practice.

Interviews were conducted in person and via telephone, depending on the availability and location of the respondents. Each interview lasted between 30 to 60 minutes and was guided by an interview schedule containing open-ended questions. The questions focused on perceived causes of plane crashes, policy implementation challenges, regulatory oversight, institutional weaknesses, and suggestions for improving aviation safety in Nigeria.

All interviews were audio-recorded (with consent) and later transcribed for analysis. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns, themes, and insights from the interview data. The analysis aimed to connect the views of respondents with existing literature and policy documents, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the key issues affecting aviation safety in Nigeria.

Ethical considerations were strictly observed. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity, and informed consent was obtained before each interview. The data collected were used solely for academic purposes.

Research Objectives

The main objective of this study is to investigate the root causes of plane crashes in Nigeria, with a focus on aviation safety and policy implementation.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Examine the major causes of plane crashes in Nigeria's aviation sector.
2. Assess the effectiveness of existing aviation safety policies and regulatory frameworks.
3. Identify key institutional and operational gaps in the enforcement of aviation safety standards.
4. Evaluate the role of the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) and other stakeholders in preventing aviation accidents.

5. Recommend policy reforms and institutional strategies to improve aviation safety and reduce the frequency of air crashes in Nigeria.

Literature Review

Aviation safety is a critical component of air transportation and has been a major concern in both developed and developing countries. According to the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO, 2020), aviation safety refers to the state in which the possibility of harm arising from aircraft operations is reduced and controlled to an acceptable level. Effective aviation policy, regulatory oversight, infrastructure, and compliance with international safety standards are all integral to ensuring a safe airspace.

In Nigeria, numerous studies have highlighted the persistent challenges facing the aviation industry. Okonkwo (2014) argues that many of the plane crashes in Nigeria are linked not just to technical issues but to systemic policy failures, weak regulation, and poor enforcement. These concerns are reinforced by Nwankwo and Iroegbu (2016), who identified a pattern of neglect in the implementation of safety recommendations issued after air crash investigations. They further noted that political interference and underfunding of regulatory agencies weaken their ability to enforce compliance.

The Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) is the main regulatory body charged with overseeing air safety, yet its capacity has often been questioned. Adebayo (2018) asserts that although Nigeria has aligned several of its regulations with ICAO standards, implementation remains inconsistent. Many airline operators cut corners on maintenance, aided by loopholes in oversight and corruption within the system.

Corruption and poor institutional governance are also central to the aviation safety crisis. Ayoola (2017) notes that the procurement of substandard aircraft, collusion between airline operators and inspectors, and lack of accountability among regulatory officials contribute to a culture of risk. This is consistent with the work of Eze and Okorie (2019), who emphasized that aging aircraft fleets, poor maintenance culture, and insufficient training of technical personnel remain persistent problems in Nigeria's aviation environment.

Furthermore, policy responses to aviation accidents in Nigeria have often been reactive rather than proactive. According to Musa (2020), major safety reforms are typically initiated only after tragic incidents, with limited continuity or follow-through on recommendations. This reactionary approach undermines long-term planning and confidence in the aviation sector.

While Nigeria has made some improvements in aviation safety rankings globally, these have not translated into a robust and consistently safe aviation system domestically. More recent studies such as that by Ibrahim and Olatunji (2021) argue that without deep structural reforms, including institutional autonomy, budgetary independence for regulatory agencies, and strict enforcement of safety laws, aviation risks will remain high.

In summary, the literature points to a combination of factors—including policy weaknesses, institutional failures, corruption, and poor regulatory enforcement—as the major causes of plane crashes in Nigeria. However, there remains a gap in research that connects lived experiences of stakeholders with the broader policy failures. This study seeks to fill that gap through qualitative interviews with key stakeholders in Nigeria's aviation sector.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on two interrelated theories: the Accident Causation Theory and the Institutional Theory. These frameworks provide a solid basis for understanding the interplay between human, technical, and systemic factors that contribute to plane crashes, as well as how institutional behavior shapes the implementation of aviation safety policies in Nigeria.

Accident Causation Theory

The Accident Causation Theory, particularly as represented by Heinrich's Domino Theory and Reason's Swiss Cheese Model, emphasizes that accidents are rarely caused by a single factor. Instead, they are the result of a series of unsafe acts and latent conditions within a system. In the context of aviation, this includes technical failures, human errors, poor maintenance culture, and inadequate safety protocols. Reason (1990) suggests that multiple layers of defense exist within any safety system, but when these layers are compromised due to policy or operational failures, accidents become more likely.

This theory is relevant to the Nigerian aviation context, where many plane crashes have been attributed to overlapping failures in maintenance, pilot training, outdated equipment, and lax regulatory enforcement. The model helps in identifying not only immediate causes but also the deeper, systemic failures that make accidents possible.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory focuses on how organizations conform to established norms, rules, and pressures within their environment. It argues that institutions may adopt formal structures and policies to gain legitimacy but may fail to implement them effectively due to internal inefficiencies, corruption, or lack of capacity (Scott, 2004). In Nigeria, aviation regulatory bodies such as the NCAA may have well-crafted policies aligned with international standards, yet poor implementation and enforcement mechanisms persist due to bureaucratic weakness, political interference, and inadequate funding.

Institutional Theory thus helps to explain why safety regulations exist but are not adequately enforced, and how informal practices undermine formal aviation safety structures. It also highlights the role of institutional reforms in achieving sustainable improvements in air safety.

By combining Accident Causation Theory and Institutional Theory, this research examines both the operational failures (e.g., poor maintenance and training) and the institutional weaknesses (e.g., ineffective regulation and corruption) that lead to plane crashes in Nigeria. The integration of these two frameworks allows for a holistic analysis of both proximate and systemic causes, as well as the policy gaps that enable them to persist.

Examination of Major Causes of Plane Crashes in Nigeria's Aviation Sector

Air crashes in Nigeria have been attributed to a combination of technical, human, institutional, and environmental factors**.** Over the years, investigations and eyewitness accounts have highlighted recurring weaknesses in aviation safety management systems, policy implementation, and regulatory oversight.

1. Technical Failures

Many crashes have been linked to aircraft mechanical malfunctions and inadequate maintenance. Some aircraft involved in accidents were found to be old and poorly maintained. Adebayo (2018) noted that some airline operators use aging aircraft without adhering to strict maintenance protocols due to weak oversight.

2. Human Errors

Pilot fatigue, poor judgment, lack of proper training, and failure to follow protocol have contributed significantly to aviation accidents. For instance, the 2006 ADC Airlines crash in Abuja was linked to poor decision-making by the flight crew during take-off under adverse weather conditions (NCAA, 2007).

3. Regulatory Weaknesses

The Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) and other agencies have been criticized for insufficient monitoring, enforcement lapses, and delayed implementation of safety recommendations. Musa (2020) points out that several accidents could have been prevented if prior safety recommendations had been fully implemented.

4. Corruption and Institutional Failures

Corruption in procurement, licensing, and inspection processes weakens safety. Officials may overlook safety violations in exchange for bribes, allowing unqualified airlines to operate.

5. Poor Emergency Response and Infrastructure

Inadequate airport infrastructure and delayed emergency response contribute to higher fatalities when accidents occur. Airports without proper radar systems or trained emergency responders put lives at higher risk (Eze & Okorie, 2019).

Table 1: Selected Plane Crashes in Nigeria and Their Identified Causes

Date	Airline	Location	Identified Cause(s)	Fatalities
Dec 10, 2005	Sosoliso Airlines	Port Harcourt	Poor weather, non-functional airport facilities	108
Oct 29, 2006	ADC Airlines	Abuja	Pilot error, poor visibility, ignored weather warnings	96
Jun 3, 2012	Dana Air	Lagos	Engine failure, poor maintenance, delayed safety compliance	153
Oct 3, 2013	Associated Airlines	Lagos	Engine failure, overloading, mechanical faults	16
Feb 21, 2021	Nigerian Air Force	Abuja	Engine failure reported shortly after takeoff	7

Sources: Nwankwo & Iroegbu (2016); Musa (2021); NCAA Reports (2012, 2013); AIB Reports (2013)

Interview Insights on the Causes of Plane Crashes in Nigeria's Aviation Sector

Interviews with aviation stakeholders including former airline safety inspectors, pilots, and officials from the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) revealed several consistent themes:

"There is a culture of cutting corners. Some operators compromise safety just to save money, and sometimes the regulators look the other way." — *Former NCAA Safety Officer, June 2025*

"Most crashes could have been avoided if earlier recommendations were implemented. The Dana crash was a clear example—warning signs were ignored." — *Retired Pilot, Lagos, 2025*

"We lack the resources—financial and technical—to monitor all operators effectively. The agency needs more autonomy and less political interference." — *Current NCAA official, Abuja, 2025*

These insights align with findings from existing literature and underscore the systemic and institutional factors behind many aviation disasters in Nigeria.

Assessing the Effectiveness of Existing Aviation Safety Policies and Regulatory Frameworks in Nigeria

Aviation safety remains a major concern in Nigeria due to the recurrent occurrence of air crashes and other safety violations. While the country has made notable efforts in developing aviation safety policies and aligning with international regulatory standards, the effectiveness of these frameworks is often questioned. This essay examines the actual performance of aviation safety policies in Nigeria by assessing their structure, enforcement, challenges, and institutional implementation.

Over the past two decades, Nigeria has made considerable progress in harmonizing its aviation policies with international standards, especially those prescribed by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). The Nigerian Civil Aviation Regulations (Nig.CARs), developed by the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA), reflect several ICAO Annexes including those on Safety Management (Annex 19), Aircraft Operations (Annex 6), and Airworthiness (Annex 8). These regulations are meant to guide safe operations, regular inspections, licensing, and accident prevention strategies (ICAO, 2020). Nigeria's efforts were globally recognized when the country was awarded the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Category 1 Certification in 2006, which enabled Nigerian airlines to operate direct flights to the United States. This milestone symbolized the country's compliance with minimum international safety requirements (Musa, 2020).

Despite this progress on paper, the implementation of safety regulations has faced several institutional challenges. A major limitation is weak enforcement by regulatory agencies. Adebayo (2018) argues that although Nigeria has an elaborate regulatory framework, its effectiveness is significantly reduced by bureaucratic inefficiencies, underfunding, and lack of technical resources. The NCAA often struggles with inadequate personnel and logistics to conduct thorough and regular inspections of airline operators. This has led to lapses in supervision, allowing unfit aircraft to remain in operation.

One of the most illustrative cases of failed enforcement is the 2012 Dana Air crash in Lagos, which resulted in the death of 153 people. Post-crash investigations revealed that the aircraft had

a long history of technical problems and that prior safety warnings were ignored. Despite red flags raised by engineers and internal reports, the airline continued operation until the tragic event. The Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB) recommended several reforms, but implementation was delayed due to bureaucratic bottlenecks and lack of urgency by the NCAA (AIB, 2013; Nwankwo & Iroegbu, 2016). This scenario is emblematic of how Nigeria's safety protocols are often reactive, responding to accidents only after they occur, rather than proactively preventing them.

Furthermore, political interference remains a persistent barrier to effective aviation safety oversight. Agencies such as the NCAA and the AIB are often subjected to pressure from political actors and influential airline owners. As Ayoola (2017) observes, safety standards are sometimes compromised to satisfy political interests, resulting in a regulatory environment where some airlines operate with impunity. This undermines not only the credibility of the regulatory bodies but also the morale of technical staff who may be discouraged from enforcing safety rules.

Corruption also plays a destructive role in weakening regulatory frameworks. There have been documented cases where airline operators allegedly bribed inspectors or influenced the issuance of airworthiness certificates despite not meeting safety requirements. This culture of compromise has contributed to the persistence of substandard maintenance practices and the circulation of aging aircraft in the country’s airspace.

In addition to enforcement failures, another weakness in Nigeria’s aviation safety system is the poor follow-up on post-accident safety recommendations. While the AIB often conducts detailed investigations and publishes its reports, there is no institutionalized mechanism to ensure that all recommendations are implemented in a timely and verifiable manner. Ibrahim and Olatunji (2021) contend that many of the safety recommendations from past accidents have either been ignored or implemented partially, largely due to institutional lethargy and absence of accountability frameworks.

Nevertheless, it is important to recognize that some positive developments have occurred. For instance, Nigeria has adopted Safety Management Systems (SMS) and State Safety Programs (SSPs) in line with ICAO guidelines. These systems are designed to help airlines and regulatory

bodies assess risks, collect data, and make informed decisions to enhance safety outcomes (ICAO, 2020). The NCAA has also introduced periodic compliance audits and surveillance activities. However, these efforts remain limited in coverage and consistency, primarily due to resource constraints.

In conclusion, while Nigeria possesses a well-structured aviation safety framework aligned with international best practices, its effectiveness is undermined by weak enforcement, corruption, political interference, and poor institutional coordination. To truly achieve a safe and reliable aviation sector, there is an urgent need for institutional reforms, including granting operational and financial autonomy to regulatory agencies, strengthening anti-corruption safeguards, and creating effective mechanisms to monitor the implementation of safety recommendations. Only through such measures can Nigeria build a resilient aviation system capable of protecting lives and restoring public confidence.

The Role of the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) and Other Stakeholders in Preventing Aviation Accidents

Aviation safety in Nigeria is a multi-stakeholder responsibility, involving regulatory authorities, airline operators, investigation agencies, airspace managers, and international partners. Among these, the Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) plays a central role as the statutory body responsible for regulating and ensuring the safety, security, and economic regulation of the aviation industry. The effectiveness of the NCAA and its collaboration with other stakeholders is crucial in preventing aviation accidents and building a safe and reliable aviation sector in Nigeria.

The Role of NCAA as the Primary Regulatory Body

Established under the Civil Aviation Act of 2006, the NCAA is mandated to enforce safety standards, license aviation professionals and organizations, inspect aircraft and aviation facilities, and ensure compliance with both national and international regulations (NCAA, 2020). The authority adopts Nigerian Civil Aviation Regulations (Nig.CARs), which are aligned with the International Civil Aviation Organization's (ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs).

The NCAA conducts surveillance inspections, certification, and oversight audits of airlines and maintenance organizations to ensure continuous airworthiness. In theory, these roles are comprehensive. In practice, however, implementation is sometimes hindered by logistical and institutional challenges. Adebayo (2018) notes that although the NCAA has improved Nigeria's international aviation reputation—especially with its Category 1 rating from the U.S. FAA in 2006—its domestic regulatory enforcement has not always been consistent.

One of the critical weaknesses of the NCAA is its limited capacity to enforce sanctions against defaulting airlines. For example, prior to the 2012 Dana Air crash, the NCAA had received reports of technical deficiencies in the airline's fleet but failed to ground the aircraft. This failure to act decisively has raised questions about the NCAA's autonomy and susceptibility to political and commercial interference (Ayoola, 2017).

Stakeholders in Aviation Safety

While the NCAA is the lead regulatory body, other key stakeholders contribute significantly to aviation safety in Nigeria:

1. **Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB):** The AIB is responsible for investigating aviation accidents and publishing reports with safety recommendations. Although not a regulator, its findings help prevent future accidents by identifying operational weaknesses and lapses. However, as Ibrahim and Olatunji (2021) note, many AIB recommendations are not followed up by the NCAA or implemented by airline operators.
2. **Nigerian Airspace Management Agency (NAMA):** NAMA manages the country's airspace and provides navigational aids and air traffic control services. Efficient and safe airspace management reduces the risk of mid-air collisions and ensures safe landings and take-offs. However, aging infrastructure and underinvestment in modern radar systems sometimes affect the agency's effectiveness (Eze & Okorie, 2019).
3. **Federal Ministry of Aviation:** As the supervisory ministry, it provides policy direction and budgetary allocations. However, its involvement in operational decisions sometimes leads to political interference, particularly when appointments or sanctions are influenced by non-technical considerations.

4. **Airlines and Private Operators:** Airline companies have the primary responsibility for maintaining aircraft, training personnel, and complying with regulations. Some airlines, however, are known to cut corners on maintenance or delay safety upgrades due to cost concerns. Musa (2020) emphasizes that in many cases, airline negligence—combined with weak regulatory oversight—contributes to accidents.
5. **International Partners (e.g., ICAO, IATA, FAA):** These bodies conduct safety audits, provide technical support, and offer training for Nigerian aviation personnel. Their collaboration has been instrumental in pushing Nigeria toward reforms, especially in the early 2000s.

Collaborative Efforts and Gaps

In recent years, there have been efforts to improve synergy among stakeholders through mechanisms such as State Safety Programs (SSP) and Safety Management Systems (SMS)**.** These systems are meant to integrate safety into all operational levels and promote data sharing between stakeholders.

Despite these advances, major gaps remain. Interview insights reveal concerns about ineffective coordination between the NCAA and the AIB. According to a senior safety analyst at AIB (Interview, 2024), "We send reports and safety recommendations regularly, but there is no follow-up mechanism to ensure the NCAA or the airline implements them." This reflects a broader institutional disconnect that reduces the impact of otherwise valuable post-accident analyses.

Additionally, financial constraints limit the capacity of stakeholders to invest in modern technologies, personnel training, and safety infrastructure. Airline operators have also lamented the bureaucratic delays in certification processes, which sometimes lead them to operate with outdated safety protocols pending regulatory approvals.

Public Confidence and Accountability

The actions (or inactions) of stakeholders, especially the NCAA, directly impact public confidence in the aviation system. Transparency, responsiveness to incidents, and proactive

communication are all essential in building trust. However, delayed accident reports, lack of visible enforcement, and minimal public engagement have led to skepticism about the industry's safety culture (Nwankwo & Iroegbu, 2016).

The Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority plays a vital role in shaping the safety of the country's aviation sector. However, its effectiveness is often limited by poor enforcement, political interference, institutional disconnect, and underfunding. Other stakeholders such as the AIB, NAMA, and airline operators also play crucial roles, but the lack of effective collaboration and implementation of safety recommendations weakens the overall safety framework. For Nigeria to achieve a truly safe aviation environment, the NCAA must be strengthened with operational independence, better funding, and improved coordination with all stakeholders.

Policy Reforms and Institutional Strategies to Improve Aviation Safety and Reduce the Frequency of Air Crashes in Nigeria

Despite the existence of comprehensive aviation safety regulations in Nigeria, the frequency of air crashes and near-miss incidents continues to raise serious concerns. Several investigations have shown that most accidents result from poor enforcement of regulations, inadequate institutional capacity, and systemic corruption. Therefore, to enhance aviation safety and minimize accidents, there is an urgent need for targeted policy reforms and institutional strengthening strategies**,** backed by practical insights from aviation professionals and regulators.

1. Granting Full Autonomy and Financial Independence to Regulatory Agencies

The Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA) and the Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB) should be granted full operational and financial autonomy. Stakeholders have consistently expressed concerns about political interference in the decision-making process of these agencies. During an interview, a senior officer at the NCAA lamented:

"Sometimes, we're unable to enforce certain sanctions because of political pressure or fear of backlash from airline owners with government connections. Autonomy is key." — *(Interview, NCAA Official, May 2025)*

Financial independence would allow the NCAA to invest in training, modern inspection tools, and better staffing without waiting for delayed budgetary allocations.

2. Establishing a Safety Recommendation Implementation Monitoring Unit

While the Accident Investigation Bureau regularly releases reports with safety recommendations, there is no formal mechanism to ensure their implementation. To close this gap, a dedicated unit should be created within the NCAA, tasked with monitoring, tracking, and reporting the implementation status of every safety recommendation made by the AIB. This unit should publish periodic compliance reports accessible to the public.

A senior AIB investigator expressed frustration:

"We send our reports and safety recommendations, but most times nothing follows. There should be a legal requirement to act on these recommendations." — *(Interview, AIB Investigator, April 2025)*

Such a system would not only ensure accountability but also institutionalize lessons learned from past incidents.

3. Upgrading Technical Infrastructure and Safety Equipment

Outdated equipment in air traffic control (ATC), navigation aids, and airport infrastructure contribute significantly to safety risks. Agencies like the Nigerian Airspace Management Agency (NAMA) must be adequately funded to modernize radar systems, meteorological equipment, and ground communication tools. Real-time weather and flight data tracking systems must be made standard across all major airports in Nigeria.

According to Eze and Okorie (2019), several accidents in the past, including the Sosoliso Airlines crash of 2005, were aggravated by poor airport landing infrastructure and non-functional instrument landing systems (ILS).

4. Implementing a National Aviation Safety Culture Campaign

Safety is not only a matter of policies and equipment—it is also a matter of organizational culture. A nationwide campaign should be launched to promote a strong safety culture across all aviation stakeholders, including airline operators, maintenance engineers, pilots, airport workers, and regulatory staff.

This campaign should include:

a) Mandatory periodic safety workshops and simulations
b) Public awareness programs to educate citizens on aviation safety protocols
c) Institutional rewards for airlines with consistent safety compliance

During an interview, a retired commercial pilot stated:

"Some airline operators think safety is just paperwork. We need to instill the mindset that safety is everyone's responsibility—from the baggage handler to the pilot." — *(Interview, Retired Pilot, Lagos, May 2025)*

5. Enhancing Transparency and Accountability Through Public Disclosures

The NCAA should be required to publish safety audit reports and sanctions against erring airlines in a public domain. This will not only increase transparency but also serve as a deterrent to operators inclined to cut corners. Transparency in enforcement promotes credibility and public trust.

Furthermore, whistleblower protection policies should be strengthened, enabling aviation staff to report safety violations without fear of victimization.

6. Strengthening Licensing and Recertification Procedures

All pilots, engineers, and maintenance organizations must undergo regular recertification and competence checks. This ensures that personnel remain updated on modern safety procedures and aviation technologies. Pilots operating in Nigeria's domestic sector often move between

airlines with limited background checks. A centralized digital licensing and personnel tracking system managed by the NCAA would mitigate this risk.

7. International Collaboration and Peer Review Audits

Nigeria should deepen its collaboration with international aviation safety bodies like ICAO, IATA, and the FAA. Through peer review audits, Nigerian aviation authorities can receive feedback from international experts and implement global best practices. Joint training programs for inspectors, pilots, and technical officers can also enhance local capacity.

Improving aviation safety in Nigeria requires more than reactive measures taken after a tragedy. It demands a proactive, system-wide overhaul involving regulatory autonomy, policy enforcement, stakeholder education, and infrastructural modernization. Interviews with key stakeholders confirm that while policies exist, the problem lies in weak implementation, poor funding, and systemic complacency. Through coordinated reforms and a national commitment to safety culture, Nigeria can reduce the frequency of air crashes and rebuild public trust in its aviation industry.

References

Accident Investigation Bureau (AIB). (2013). *Final report on Associated Airlines crash*. https://aib.gov.ng

Adebayo, T. M. (2018). *Challenges of aviation safety oversight in Nigeria: An institutional analysis*. African Journal of Transport Policy and Practice, 5(1), 66–79.

Ayoola, K. A. (2017). *Corruption and aviation safety in Nigeria: The institutional dilemma*. Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 9(3), 33–41.

Eze, O. O., & Okorie, M. A. (2019). *Aging aircraft and air crash in Nigeria: Implications for aviation policy and safety*. Nigerian Journal of Aviation Management, 3(2), 45–59.

Ibrahim, A., & Olatunji, B. S. (2021). *Institutional reforms and safety challenges in Nigeria's aviation industry*. West African Policy Review, 6(1), 78–92.

International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2020). *Global aviation safety plan (2020–2022)*. https://www.icao.int

Musa, L. Y. (2020). *A review of aviation accident responses and safety reforms in Nigeria*. Journal of African Safety Studies, 4(2), 112–127.

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA). (2007). *Final report on ADC Airlines crash*. https://ncaa.gov.ng

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA). (2012). *Dana Air crash investigation summary*. https://ncaa.gov.ng

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA). (2017). *Annual safety report*. https://ncaa.gov.ng

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority (NCAA). (2020). *Civil Aviation Act and safety regulations*. https://ncaa.gov.ng

Nwankwo, C. A., & Iroegbu, A. N. (2016). *An assessment of aviation safety in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects*. Journal of African Transportation Studies, 4(2), 45–59.

Okonkwo, J. O. (2014). *Plane crashes in Nigeria: Causes and policy implications*. African Journal of Public Policy, 9(1), 101–117.

Reason, J. (1990). *Human error*. Cambridge University Press.

Scott, W. R. (2004). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.